2Q April 1-June 30, 2006 Exit Plan Report Outcome Measure Overview

				Out	come N	leasure	e Over	view				
Measure	Measure	Baseline	1Q 2004	2Q 2004	3Q 2004	4Q 2004	1Q 2005	2Q 2005	3Q 2005	4Q 2005	1Q 2006	2Q 2006
1: Commencement of Investigation*	>=90%	х	х	X	X	91.2%	92.5%	95.1%	96.2%	96.1%	96.2%	96.4%
2: Completion of the Investigation	>=85%	73.7%	64.2%	68.8%	83.5%	91.7%	92.3%	92.3%	93.1%	94.2%	94.2%	93.1%
3: Treatment Plans**	>=90%	x	х	x	10%	17%	x	x	x	х	x	x
4: Search for Relatives*	>=85%	58%	93%	82%	44.6%	49.2%	65.1%	89.6%	89.9%	93.9%	11/15/06*	2/15/07*
<u>5</u> : Repeat Maltreatment	<=7%	9.3%	9.4%	8.9%	9.4%	8.9%	8.2%	8.5%	9.1%	7.3%	6.3%	7.0%
6: Maltreatment of Children in Out-of- Home Care	<=2%	1.2%	0.5%	0.8%	0.9%	0.6%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%	0.6%	0.4%	0.7%
7: Reunification*	>=60%	57.8%	x	X	X	x	x	X	64.2%	61%	66.4%	64.4%
8: Adoption	>=32%	12.5%	10.7%	11.1%	29.6%	16.7%	33%	25.2%	34.4%	30.7%	40.8%	36.9%
9: Transfer of Guardianship	>=70%	60.5%	62.8%	52.4%	64.6%	63.3%	64.0%	72.8%	64.3%*	72.4%	60.7%	63.1%
10: Sibling Placement*	>=95%	57%	65%	53%	Х	х	х	x	96%	94%	75%	77%
11: Re-Entry	<=7%	6.9%	X	х	Х	x	х	x	7.2%	7.6%	6.7%	7.5%
12: Multiple Placements	>=85%	x	х	95.8%	95.2%	95.5%	96.2%	95.7%	95.8%	96%	96.2%	96.6%
13: Foster Parent Training	100%	X	x	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
14: Placement Within Licensed Capacity	>=96%	94.9%	88.3%	92.0%	93.0%	95.7%	97%	95.9%	94.8%	96.2%	95.2%	94.5%
15: Needs Met	>=80%	x	53%	57%	53%	56%	x	X	X	х	x	x
16: Worker-Child Visitation (Out-of- Home)*	>=85% 100%	Monthly Quarterly	72% 87%	86% 98%	73% 93%	81% 91%	77.9% 93.3%	86.7% 95.7%	83.3% 92.8%	85.6% 91.9%	86.8% 93.1%	86.5% 90.9%
17: Worker-Child Visitation (In- Home)*	>=85%	X	39%	40%	46%	33%	x	81.9%	78.3%	85.6%	86.2%	87.6%
18: Caseload Standards+	100%	69.2%	73.1%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	99.80%	100%	100%	100%
19: Reduction in Residential Care	<=11%	13.5%	13.9%	14.3%	14.7%	13.9%	13.7%	12.6%	11.8%	11.6%	11.3%	10.8%
<u>20</u> : Discharge Measures	>=85%	61%	74%	52%	93%	83%	x	x	96%	92%	85%	91%
21: Discharge of Mentally III or Retarded Children	100%	x	43%	64%	56%	60%	x	x	78%	70%	95%	97%
22: Multi- disciplinary Exams (MDE)	>=85%	5.6%	19.0%	24.5%	48.9%	44.7%	55.4%	52.1%	54.6%	72.1 %	91.1%	89.9%

Results based on Case Reviews

OM	Comments
4	Link report posted for 2Q 2006 reflecting status of children entering care for the 4Q 2005 period. This is consistent with the Exit Plan measure definition. Refer to 4Q 2005 column.
7, 11	LINK data via ROM report (as of 3Q 2005). With a case review to supplement ROM report. In the 3Q report period a case review was not conducted for 11. For 2Q 2006 case reviews were conducted for both 7 (189 cases reviewed) and 11 (178 cases reviewed). Results shows: 7 = 64.4% Met, 35.6% Not Met and 11 = 92.5% Met and 7.5% Not Met
8, 9	For 2Q 2006 Adoption results, 9 were n/a. A Case review was conducted to determine the status of these n/a cases. Adoption: The following shows the results of the 9 case review: 2 met, 4 not met and 1 was non-applicable (1 adoptions via probate for Interstate Compact case from another state) and dropped from the totals. Re-calculated statewide results show (total of 122 adoptions): 36.9% met and 63.1% not met. TOG results, 2 were n/a. A case review was conducted to determine the status of these n/a case. TOG: The following shows the results of the 2 case review: 0 met and 2 not met. Re-calculated statewide results show (total of 141 transfers of guardianship): TOG -63.1% met the goal and 36.9% % not met.
10	Case review. Under negotiations with Court Monitor for ROM reporting and supplemental case review.
16, 17	LINK Report available for 11/15/05. In addition, as of 3Q 2005 the Department will include the one visit per quarter results for OM 16. This method reports all children in care who had 1 (one) visit during the quarter period. The LINK system is unable to determine if the visits were made by the assigned social worker as indicated in the Exit Plan.

Treatment Plans**

** negotiations with Plaintiffs.

2006

1Q N/A 2Q N/A

2006

In addition, two (2) additional areas were evaluated: Treatment plan must be written and treatment conference conducted in the family's primary language and treatment plans developed in conjunction with parents/child/service providers (for example, treatment plan modifications as a result of input from the ACR).

1Q N/A 2Q N/A

Caseload Standards +

2006

1Q As of May 15, 2006 the Department met the 100% compliance mark. The sixty (60) cases over 100% caseload utilization meet the exception criteria (cases over 100% and not over for 30 days or more). 2Q As of August 15, 2006 the Department met the 100% compliance mark. The thirty (30) cases over 100% caseload utilization meet the exception criteria (cases over 100% and not over for 30 days or more).